

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,290	10/14/2004	Raimo Leimala	1034281-000025	1867	
	7590 04/20/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	YANG, JIE			
ALEXANDRL	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1793			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,290	LEIMALA, RAIMO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JIE YANG	1793	

	JIE YANG	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: (I box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ripilarie / trioriariorie (i	102 024).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/JY/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. Note: The amended feature: "... under conditions that bind one or more metal impurities to said chelating ion-exchange resin..." in proposed claim1 and the amended feature: "...displacing..., eluting..., regenearating..., and displacing...," in the proposed claim 10 were not contained in the finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowence because: the Applicants adult dimitation: "...under conditions that bind one or more metal impurities to said chelating ion-exchange resin..." and "...spaprating said bound talting ion-exchange resin..." in the proposed claim1, and the Applicants add limitation of "...displacing..., eluting..., reparating..., and displacing..." in the proposed claim1 of 1. The proposed features were not included in the finally rejected claims and it would require three consideration/search. The Examiner notes that Applicants mentioned a substitute specification had been submitted, but it was not found in the recorded document.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.